# TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY POT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		.1 61* *								
Applicant's or agent's file reference  P921-PCT				FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.				International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/JP2004/018538				07.12.2004		08.12.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC										
B32B15/08										
Applicant										
NIPPON STEEL CORPORATION										
1.	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.									
2.	This R	REPORT consis	ts of a total of	6	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.				
3.	This re	eport is also acc	ompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:						
	a	(sent to th	e applicant and	to the International Bure	au) a total of	sheets, as follows:				
						amended and are the basis for this report and/or				
	sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
		the o	disclosure in the			siders contain an amendment that goes beyond lin item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental				
	_	Box.								
	Ь	(sent to th	e International	Bureau only) a total of (in	idicate type and numbe	er of electronic carrier(s))				
						_ , containing a sequence listing and/or tables				
				r readable form only, as i trative Instructions).	ndicated in the Supple	emental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see				
4.	Thiam									
4.	1111811	eport contains i	idications relati	ng to the following items:						
		Box No. I	Basis of the	report						
	$\sqcup$	Box No. II	Priority							
	Box No. III Non-establishment				gard to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV Lack of unity			ty of invention	y of invention					
	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
		Box No. VI	Certain doc	ruments cited						
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international ap	pplication					
		Box No. VIII	Certain obs	ervations on the internation	onal application					
Date of	submiss	ion of the dema	nd	D	ate of completion of th	is report				
					-	-				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP					uthorized officer					
Facsimile No.					elephone No.					

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/018538

Box	No. I	Basis of the report								
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the internaticated under this item.	onal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise							
		This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purinternational search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))  publication of the international application (Rule 12.1)								
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 an	d/or 55.3)							
2.	rece	th regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the eiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to report):  the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:								
			as originally filed/furnished							
		•	received by this Authority on							
	$\overline{}$	pages*	received by this Authority on							
	Ш	the claims:								
		nos.	as originally filed/furnished							
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19							
		nos.*	received by this Authority on							
		nos.*	received by this Authority on							
		the drawings:								
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished							
		sheets*	received by this Authority on							
		sheets*	received by this Authority on							
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supple	mental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.							
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:								
		the description, pages								
		the claims, nos.								
		41-4								
		the sequence listing (specify):								
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):								
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amer	adments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).							
		the description, pages								
		the claims, nos.								
		the drawings, sheets/figs								
		the sequence listing (specify):								
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):								
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "su	perseded."							

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Вох		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)		4, 5	YES		
		Claims	1-3, 6	NO		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES		
		Claims	1-6	_ NO		
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6	YES		
		Claims		_ NO		

### 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Document 1: JP 2001-348678 A (Nisshin Steel Co., Ltd.), 18 December 2001

Document 2: JP 8-25552 A (NHK Spring Co., Ltd.), 30 January 1996

Document 3: JP 4-32577 A (Kobe Steel, Ltd.), 04 February 1992

### Claim 1

Document 1 discloses a coated steel plate that comprises a resin coating film, a film that has been subjected to a chemical conversion treatment and a plated layer that has fine pits and bumps. Therein, document 1 presents methods similar to the mechanical grinding method and the chemical etching method set forth on page 6 of the description of the present application, which are methods for forming pits in the surface of a layer (paragraph [0013]), and also presents a method similar to the method set forth on page 7 of the description of the present application wherein a liquid-phase acidic solution and a liquid-phase fluorine ion-containing solution are used to grow metal oxides, which is a method for forming cracks in the surface of a layer (paragraph [0018]).

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

It is true that document 1 does not specifically mention the formation of cracks; however, the metal oxide layer that is provided over the fine pits and bumps can be considered to have sections that correspond to the cracks in the present invention.

Therefore, the invention set forth in claim 1 is not novel.

Meanwhile, the applicant asserts that the invention disclosed in document 1 has bumps, and thus is different from the invention set forth in the claims of the present application. However, both inventions are produced by means of similar production methods, as is indicated above; therefore, the inventions in question can be said to have similar surfaces. Furthermore, pits and bumps can be described as being either pits or bumps depending on the standard of reference for evaluating a surface, and thus the use of the expression 'bumps' cannot be said to constitute a technical difference between the inventions in question.

## Claim 2

Document 1 discloses a coated steel plate that comprises a resin coating film, a film that has been subjected to a chemical conversion treatment and a plated layer that has fine pits and bumps. Therein, document 1 presents methods similar to the mechanical grinding method and the chemical etching method set forth on page 6 of the description in the present application, which are methods for forming pits in the surface of a layer (paragraph [0013]).

It is true that document 1 does not specifically mention the formation of pits; however, the fine pits and

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

bumps can be considered to correspond to the pits in the present invention.

Meanwhile, document 3 discloses a feature wherein a porous film comprising metal oxides or metal hydroxides is formed upon the surface of an aluminum member or an aluminum alloy member, and then a resin coating film is coated thereupon. Therein, document 3 also presents methods similar to the mechanical grinding method and the chemical etching method set forth on page 6 of the description in the present application, which are methods for forming pits in the surface of a layer (page 2, lower left column).

It is true that document 3 does not specifically mention the formation of pits; however, the pores can be considered to correspond to the pits in the present invention.

Such being the case, the invention set forth in claim 2 is not novel.

Meanwhile, the applicant asserts that the inventions disclosed in documents 1 and 3 have bumps, and thus are different from the invention set forth in the claims of the present application. However, the inventions in question are all produced by means of similar methods, as is indicated above; therefore, said inventions can be said to have similar surfaces.

Furthermore, pits and bumps can be described as being either pits or bumps depending on the standard of reference for evaluating a surface, and thus the use of the expression 'bumps' cannot be said to constitute a technical difference between the inventions in question.

Claim 3

PCT/JP2004/018538

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The invention disclosed in document 1 can be considered to have both cracks and pits, as is indicated above; therefore, the invention set forth in claim 3 is considered to be the same as the invention disclosed in document 1.

Consequently, the invention set forth in claim 3 is not novel.

Meanwhile, the applicant asserts that the invention disclosed in document 1 has bumps, and thus is different from the invention set forth in the claims of the present application. However, both inventions are produced by means of similar production methods, as is indicated above; therefore, the inventions in question can be said to have similar surfaces. Furthermore, pits and bumps can be described as being either pits or bumps depending on the standard of reference for evaluating a surface, and thus the use of the expression 'bumps' cannot be said to constitute a technical difference between the inventions in question.

### Claims 4 to 6

Refer to the written opinion that was drafted by the International Searching Authority.

Based on the items that are presented in the written opinion, the inventions set forth in claims 4 to 6 lack novelty and do not involve an inventive step.